# The A-Team

# Sprint Review and Sprint Retrospective: Deliverable 1

**Features implemented**

* Team Kanban Board and Issue Tracker
* GitHub Repository Established
* Product Backlog Created
* Sprint Backlog Created
* Shared Google Drive Backed Up to GitHub Repository
* Team Build Environment Created, Added to Shared Repo, and Distributed to the Team
* Team Build Environment Instructions Created
* SRS v1 Document Created; Functional/Non-Functional Requirements Added
* SRS UI Mockups Created

**Issues fixed**

* User Stories Updated
* Product Descriptions Updated
* Team Problem Statements Updated

**Implementation Review**

What Went Well in the Implementation

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)Overall, the team has “gelled” very well so far. There are obviously growing pains in starting a new project, working with an entirely new (cross-functional) team, but nothing that we couldn’t handle, or which was completely unexpected.

What Problems Occurred in the Implementation

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)As a team, we’re still struggling with the sheer amount of work which is being requested of us, from the project. The estimates Dr. Greg has for how long assignments and tasks should take, are far and away less than the actual amount of time and work it is taking our group to complete.

How problems were solved

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)Problems were solved as they came up. In the end, the team readjusted tasks based on perceived workload, and the PM took the duties and responsibilities of tasks which could not be completed by other members of the group in time.

Plans for the Next Sprint

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)For the next sprint, we will attempt to have all work completed by the last meeting before a deliverable is due, to provide the team with adequate time to review each other’s work and progress, giving the team a buffer before submission to ensure all work has been reviewed before consolidation and submission.

**Sprint Retrospective**

What Went Well?

[Samson Cournane](mailto:samson.cournane@maine.edu)*: I think that our team did a good job at collaborating and help us finish our goal on time. Our team very good at working together with our PM.*

[Sam Minor](mailto:samuel.minor@maine.edu)*: I think the team has done a good job in working together to meet our goals, looking towards the deliverables.*

[Tereza Holubcova](mailto:tereza.holubcova@maine.edu)*: The team has been working very well together, thanks to our PM. He has been such a great leader and I bet he did a lot of work on its own. I feel like the team is pretty dedicated, open and easy to work with, which makes the workload more manageable.*

[Emily Brule](mailto:emily.brule@maine.edu)*: I think that our ability to communicate together as a team is great and really helpful for our project. The workload and directions can be tricky at times but our ability to work well together is like our saving grace.*

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)*: Overall, I think we worked extremely well together as a team. Considering the fact that we’re still learning how this process works overall, and getting to know each other still, I think we’ve done a bangup job thus far.*

[Kevin Menenello](mailto:kevin.menenello@maine.edu)*:*

What could be Improved?

[Samson Cournane](mailto:samson.cournane@maine.edu)*: I think that sometimes when we work together online it can be a bit challenging, but I think that if we work together in-person collaboration would be easier.*

[Sam Minor](mailto:samuel.minor@maine.edu)*: Sometimes the way we communicate in a team setting can be a bit ambigous*

[Tereza Holubcova](mailto:tereza.holubcova@maine.edu)*: I agree with Andrew about knowing what is “good enough” or if it is meeting the assignment requirements.*

[Emily Brule](mailto:emily.brule@maine.edu)*: I think that collaborating without really any opportunities to have class together or meet in person makes working on such a difficult project even more difficult.*

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)*:* *Determining what is “good enough” is still very difficult for our team. The instructions from Dr. Greg are not entirely clear, and the workload still feels insurmountable.*

[Kevin Menenello](mailto:kevin.menenello@maine.edu)*:*

What will we Commit to Improve in the Next Sprint?

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu): As a team, we will continue to improve upon established team norms which are aiding us in how effectively we can complete tasks.

What Changes will be Made to How We Work for the Next Sprint?

[Andrew Bement](mailto:andrew.bement@maine.edu)For the next sprint, we will attempt to have all work completed by the last meeting before a deliverable is due, to provide the team with adequate time to review each other’s work and progress, giving the team a buffer before submission to ensure all work has been reviewed before consolidation and submission.